REMARKS

Claims 1-11 and 13-15 and 18-23 are pending in the present application.

Rejection under 35 USC 112 (paragraph one)

Claims 1-11, 13-15 and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC 112 (paragraph one) as failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection respectfully is traversed to the extent deemed to apply to the claims as amended.

In support of the rejection, the Examiner takes the position that the specification, while teaching the recited dtex for the fibers, fails to provide support for a thin fiber length of 2-15 mm.

Applicants again direct the Examiner's attention to page 12, lines 12-15 of the specification where such support resides as follows:

"Other fibers which constitute the air-laid nonwoven fabric 5 in addition to the thick thermoplastic fiber 2 include thermoplastic fibers having a fineness of 0.5 to 5 dtex, particularly 1 to 3 dtex, and <u>a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm</u>, particularly 3 to 8 mm (hereinafter referred to as thin thermoplastic fibers)."

The rejection is thus without basis and should be withdrawn.

The Present Invention and Its Advantages

1. The Claim 1 Embodiment

The invention of claim 1 is directed to a cleaning sheet, which comprises a non-woven fabric having:

- 10 to 90% by weight of thick thermoplastic fibers having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 10 to 150 dtex,
- 1 to 50% by weight of thin thermoplastic fibers having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 0.5 to 5 dtex and
- 10 to 90% by weight of cellulosic fibers.

The non-woven fabric has a number of tips of thick thermoplastic fibers forming the non-woven fabric exposed on the surface of said cleaning sheet having the capability of scouring or scraping dirt off of a soiled surface, with the number of tips of the thick thermoplastic fibers being 20-4000/cm², with intersections of the thick thermoplastic fibers, and intersections of the thick and thin fibers, being bonded by fusion or with a binder.

2. The Claim 13 Embodiment

The invention of claim 13 is directed to a cleaning sheet, comprising a first layer of non-woven fabric having:

 10 to 90% by weight of thick thermoplastic fibers, the thick thermoplastic fibers having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 10 to 150 dtex, • 1 to 50% by weight of thin thermoplastic fibers having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 0.5 to 5 dtex and the non-woven fabric having a number of tips of the thick thermoplastic fibers forming the non-woven fabric exposed on the surface of the cleaning sheet in the range of 20 to 4000/cm², and

a second layer comprised of 10 to 90% by weight of cellulosic fibers, wherein the thick thermoplastic fibers are bonded at intersections thereof.

The cleaning sheet according to the present invention exhibits excellent scouring or scraping properties against soil by its use of a non-woven fabric containing 10 to 90% by weight of thick thermoplastic fibers having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 10 to 150 dtex, and 1 to 50% by weight of thin thermoplastic fibers having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 0.5 to 5 dtex and 10 to 90% by weight of cellulosic fibers, wherein 20 to $4000/\text{cm}^2$ tips of the thick fibers are exposed on the surface of the cleaning sheet. In addition, the cleaning sheet according to the present invention does not scratch a surface to be cleaned.

Applicants respectfully submit that the references relied on by the Examiner fail to teach or suggest the present invention and therefore are unable to accomplish the advantages of the present invention.

Rejection of Claims 1, 9-10, 13-15 and 18 Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 1, 9-10, 13-15 and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over JP 2000-212866 in view of JP 03-279452 or JP 02-112460. This rejection respectfully is traversed to the extent deemed to apply to the claims as amended.

Applicants initially note that none of the cited art relied on by the Examiner teaches or provides for a cleaning sheet as instantly claimed and in no way provide any motivation to arrive at the same.

More particularly, none of the cited art teach or provide for a cleaning sheet containing the *combination of* (i) 10 to 90% by weight of *thick thermoplastic fibers* having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 10 to 150 dtex, (ii) 1 to 50% by weight of *thin thermoplastic fibers* having a fiber length of 2 to 15 mm and a fineness of 0.5 to 5 dtex (iii) 10 to 90% by weight of cellulosic fibers, and (iv) wherein 20 to 4000/cm² tips of the *thick fibers* are exposed on the surface of the cleaning sheet.

Further, not only does the claimed nonwoven fabric have intersections of the thick fibers, but also intersections of the thick and thin fibers (see claim 1). The respective intersections of fibers are bonded by fusion or with a binder. This prevents the thick fibers from falling off, while improving scraping properties of the material.

JP '866 teaches a fiber mat comprised of cellulosic fibers and heatbondable synthetic fibers. The mat may also be bonded or laminated to another mat comprised of synthetic or natural fibers.

The fiber mat of JP '866 may be nonwoven, which may be an air-laid nonwoven fabric, containing heat-fusible bicomponent fibers having specific affinity to cellulose and cellulosic fibers. The nonwoven fabric is used for a wipe for absorbing liquid and a liquid absorber. It is known in the art that conventional heat-fusible fibers do <u>not</u> exhibit high strength of thermal bonding to cellulosic fibers, since synthetic resins have a low affinity to cellulose.

To the contrary, the heat-fusible fiber of the reference is characterized by high strength of thermal bonding to cellulosic fibers. In contrast, JP '866 is characterized by the use of a special heat-fusible bicomponent fiber having high affinity to cellulose.

The reference is silent with respect to removing soil from a solid surface by scouring or scraping by thick fibers. The reference also fails to teach or suggest the use of thick and thin fibers in combination, together with cellulosic fibers. Indeed, the Examiner acknowledges that the reference fails to teach the presence of "thin" fibers.

While JP '452 and JP '460 are cited to teach the combination of thick and thin fibers in a textile sheet which may be a non-woven sheet, the

references are silent with respect to the presence of cellulosic fibers in the sheet.

JP '460 is directed to a sheet used as a filter material, bacteria barrier material, liquid-absorbent material, etc. The sheet of JP '452 is the same as for JP '460. The sheets of JP '460 and JP '452 are used for a different purpose than the sheet of JP '866. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art is provided no motivation by the JP '460 and '452 references in relation to JP '866. JP' 866 is directed to an air-laid nonwoven fabric, while JP '460 and JP '452 each teach meltblown nonwoven fabric. No reason exists to employ both thick and thin thermoplastic fibers used in the meltblown nonwoven fabric as the thermoplastic fiber used for an air-laid nonwoven fabric.

In addition, the sizes of the thick and thin fibers disclosed in these two references do not fall within the claimed range.

For example, in Example 1 of JP '460, a melt-blown polypropylene fiber of 1.7 μ m (thin fiber) and a polyethylene fiber of 25 μ m (thick fiber) are used. These fiber sizes correspond to 0.02 dtex for the melt-blown polypropylene fiber and 4.5 dtex for the polyethylene fiber, based on the density of 0.9 g/cm³ for polypropylene and 0.92 g/cm³ for polyethylene.

In Example 1 of JP '452, a melt blown polypropylene/polyethylene fiber of 2.0 µm (thin fiber) and a polypropylene fiber of 6 deniers (thick fiber) are

used. These fiber sizes correspond to about 0.028 dtex for the melt-blown polypropylene/polyethylene fiber and 6.6 dtex for the polypropylene fiber.

By contrast, applicants' claims provide for a thick fiber of 10 to 150 dtex and a thin fiber of 0.5 to 5 dtex. The references thus do not exemplify the use of a thick fiber as claimed, with the exemplified "thick" fibers being from 34-55% or so smaller than required by applicants' claims.

Applicants note the Examiner's view that the fibers of JP '460 can have a size of up to 8 microns. While applicants do not dispute this, the fact remains that one of ordinary skill in the art, when faced with the teachings of JP '460, is not provided with the requisite motivation to modify the references in the manner asserted to arrive at the claimed invention.

Claim 13 corresponds to the embodiment of Figure 2 – i.e., a nonwoven fabric having a first layer having 10-90% by weight of thick thermoplastic fibers (as defined) and 1-50% by weight of thin thermoplastic fibers (as defined), and a second layer comprised of 30 to 100% by weight of cellulosic fibers, with the first layer having a number of tips of the exposed thick fibers in the range of 20 to 4000/cm².

The embodiment of claim 13 is also neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited prior art. While JP '866 teaches at claim 13 the combination of the nonwoven layer with, for example, a cellulose fiber layer, the reference is otherwise silent regarding the other limitations of the claims.

Accordingly, since the cited art references do not teach or otherwise provide for each of the limitations recited in the pending claims, it follows that the cited art cannot render obvious the same. Likewise, because the cited art references do not provide any motivation for that would allow one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the instant invention as claimed, they cannot support an obviousness rejection of either one of independent claims 1 and 13.

The rejection is thus without basis and should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 2 and 11 Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 2 and 11 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over JP 2000-212866 in view of JP 03-279452, JP 02-112460 and Kakiuchi et al. This rejection respectfully is traversed to the extent deemed to apply to the claims as amended.

The deficiencies of the primary references are discussed at length above.

The additionally-cited Kakiuchi et al reference does not cure such deficiencies,
and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 3-8 Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 3-8 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over JP 2000-212866 in view of JP 03-279452, JP 02-112460 and Kobayashi et al. This rejection respectfully is traversed to the extent deemed to apply to the claims as amended.

The deficiencies of the primary references are discussed at length above.

The additionally-cited Kobayashi et al reference does not cure such deficiencies, and the rejection should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance, including each of instantly pending claims 1-11, 13-15 and 18-28. An early indication of the same is earnestly solicited.

If any questions remain regarding the above matters, please contact Applicant's representative John W. Bailey (Reg. No. 32,881), at the phone number listed below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Bv

John W. Bailey, #32,881

RO. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

1.M.K.

JWB/JWH/sh